

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,158	11/16/2001	Kenneth B. Higgins	5113D	1180
Milliken & Cor	7590 06/18/2007		EXAM	INER
P.O. Box 1926			JUSKA, CHERYL ANN	
Spartanburg, SC 29304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/993,158	HIGGINS ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Cheryl Juska	1771
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SH WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS . cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication.
Status	. , , ,		
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters	
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1,3-35,38,40,58-72,77-79,81-85 and Claim(s) 41,88,123,130,134,136,138 and 141 is Claim(s) 42-49, 89-97, 99, 100, 102-122, 124-Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration. 151 is/are allowed. s/are rejected. 128, 131, and 135 is/are objected. r election requirement.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
· a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Appli ity documents have been rec (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	ail Date

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1,3-35,38,40-49,58-72,77-79,81-85,88-97,99,100,102-128,130,131,134-136,138,141 and 151.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed April 2, 2007, has been entered. Claim 5 has been amended as requested. Claims 2, 36, 37, 39, 50-57, 73-76, 80, 86, 87, 98, 101, 129, 132, 133, 137, 139, 140, and 142-150 have been cancelled. The pending claims are 1, 3-35, 38, 40-49, 58-72, 77-79, 81-85, 88-97, 99, 100, 102-128, 130, 131, 134-136, 138, 141, and 151.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment with respect to the prior art rejections have been fully considered. Upon review of the prior art rejection in question, the examiner is in agreement with applicant that DeSimone teaches away from the present invention (Amendment, page 22, 2nd paragraph), as recited in at least claim 1. While the examiner maintains DeSimone is directed to attached cushioned carpets rather than unattached, carpet underlayment or padding as argued by applicant (Amendment, paragraph spanning pages 22-23), the teachings of the reference do not render the present claims obvious.
- 3. Note DeSimone teaches the rebond foam may be a core layer sandwiched between outer skin layers, preferably of polyurethane foam, but also including woven or unwoven fabrics such as carpet backing. This sandwich laminate is formed in-situ, wherein the rebond foam mixture is sandwiched between two skin layers before allowing said foam mixture to cure. In other words, DeSimone teaches in-situ formation of a rebond foam core layer with at least one skin layer being a "carpet backing" woven or nonwoven fabric. In such an embodiment, the rebond foam

Application/Control Number: 09/993,158 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

layer would not be a surface layer for which to employ in a flame lamination process. Rather, the "carpet backing" fabric would be the exposed surface of the foam laminate and hence, would not be suited for flame lamination to another layer.

- 4. It was previously stated that "this 'in-situ' can be interpreted as the making of the preformed sheet of rebond, which is subsequently flame laminated as is known in the art" (last Office Action, section 13, pages 5-6). This argument referred to the embodiment wherein the insitu sandwich comprised polyurethane foam skin layers, which are capable of flame lamination to another layer. However, this argument is improper since one would not be motivated from the teachings of DeSimone, wherein the rebond foam core laminate is made in-situ with a carpet backing fabric skin layer, to form a pre-made rebond foam core having foam skin layers and then subsequently flame laminating this sandwich laminate to a carpet backing fabric. In other words, one would not be properly motivated to modify the DeSimone process with additional, costly, unnecessary steps and layers (e.g., flame lamination via polyurethane foam skin layers) to produce the same end result of an attached rebond cushioned carpet. Therefore, the rejections based upon Higgins '857, DeSimone, and Official Notice as set forth in sections 5-9 of the last Office Action are hereby withdrawn.
- 5. An updated search of the prior art has produced no new art for which to base a rejection upon. However, the prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1771

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claims 41, 88, 123, 130, 134, 136, 138, and 141 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. Claim 41 is indefinite for the phrase "said layer of reinforcing material bonded to at least one of an upper and lower surface of the rebond foam cushion via flame lamination. If the carpet tile comprises an adhesive mass in bonding relation between the primary carpet and the layer of reinforcing material, then said reinforcing material physically cannot be bonded to the lower surface of the rebond foam cushion via flame lamination. Hence, claim 41 is rejected as indefinite.
- 9. Claims 88, 123, 130, 134, 136, 138, and 141 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite because it is unclear what layers are flame laminated together?

 Note this rejection was part of the 112, 2nd rejection on record in the Final Rejection Office Action mailed 02/24/06, but was erroneously dropped in the last Office Action, mailed 11/02/06. Also note independent claims 1, 41, and 58 are not rejected due to the recitations of "with a flame laminate junction therebetween" and "bonded…via flame lamination."
- 10. Claim 123 is indefinite because it is unclear if the "cushion back" layer is part of or in addition to the "flame lamination backing composite." In other words, is said "cushion back" the same or different than the "preformed sheet of foamed polyurethane particles bonded together."
- 11. Claim 130 is indefinite because it is unclear if applicant intends to positively recite a carpet layer in addition to the flame laminated backing composite or if applicant merely intends

Art Unit: 1771

to just the flame laminated backing composite for use in a carpet tile. Claim 134 is similarly rejected.

- 12. Claim 134 is also rejected since the structural relationship of the "textile face" is unclear. Is said face part of the flame laminated backing composite or is said face referring to a primary carpet layer?
- 13. Claim 141 is indefinite because it is unclear if the claimed "stabilizing layer" is the same or different from the "layer of reinforcing material."

Allowable Subject Matter

- 14. Claims 1, 3-35, 38, 40, 58-72, 77-79, 81-85, and 151 are allowed.
- 15. Claims 41, 88, 123, 130, 134, 136, 138, and 141 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 16. Claims 42-49, 89-97, 99, 100, 102-122, 124-128, 131, and 135 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 571-272-1477. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached at 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1771

18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHERY A JUSTA PRIMARY EXAMINER